

**ADDENDUM NO. 2**  
**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS**  
**FOR**  
**TRAFFIC SIGNAL MAINTENANCE**  
**IN THE CITY OF LA PUENTE**

This Addendum forms a part of the Request for Proposal (RFP) for the above-identified service and modifies the original specifications and documents, as noted below. Portions of the RFP not specifically mentioned in this Addendum shall remain in full force and effect. Any proposal not incorporating this Addendum shall be considered an incomplete bid. All trades affected shall be fully advised of these changes, deletions, and additions.

**RFP DOCUMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS**

**Contact Information Correction:** Correction of email for communication with Ms. Norma Ramirez. The City's Bid and RFP webpage hosting this RFP the and the bid document indicated an incorrect email to contact Ms. Norma Ramirez. The correct email for Ms. Norma Ramirez is "[NRamirez@lapuente.org](mailto:NRamirez@lapuente.org)".

The following are additional compiled questions and RFIs that were received by the deadline 3:00pm, Friday, October 16, 2026. Responses to questions and RFIs are included in "red" below.

1. **Conflict Between Fee Schedule and Page 30 Frequencies:** The Fee Schedule outlines a unit structure based on 12 monthly cycles per year. However, the text on Page 30 titles the procedure as a "TWO-MONTH PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE ROUTINE." To ensure alignment between the commercial fee schedule and the technical specifications, will the City issue an addendum confirming that this routine is strictly Monthly (12x per year) and that the "Two Month" text is a typographical error?

The table on page 30 erroneously mentions "two". The table on page 30 is intended to provide procedure for minimum routine maintenance on a monthly basis (12 months). It corresponds and is a part of Task List I beginning on page 29.

2. **Generator Provision Liability (Page 23):** Item 1 on Page 23 requires the Contractor to supply generator power during utility outages. Per California Vehicle Code Section 21800(d)(1), a dark signalized intersection legally shifts to a multi way stop configuration. Deploying temporary, unmanned generators in the public right-of-way introduces severe liability risks regarding equipment knockdowns, theft, or engine failures. Will the City allow contractors to coordinate with local public works or law enforcement for temporary stop sign placement or traffic control in lieu of supplying generators? If generators remain mandatory, will the City indemnify the contractor against liabilities stemming from equipment failure or knockdowns of the temporary power setup?

The City will allow contractors to coordinate primarily with local public works for temporary stop sign placement or traffic control in lieu of supplying generators. The need for a generator is only for extreme or extraordinary emergencies as a last resort. The option for use of a generator will remain mandatory. The City will not agree to indemnify the contractor against liabilities stemming from equipment failure, tampering, or knockdowns of the temporary power setup. Proposers shall consider these factors for their cost proposals for the use of this equipment.

3. **Subcontractor and Material Mark-ups (Page 10 vs. Page 26):** Section 4.2 (Page 10) states that the prime contractor mark-up for subcontractors shall not exceed 10%. However, Section 6.3.2.2 (Page 26) states that the Contractor shall be allowed actual cost plus a 15% mark-up for materials not provided for in the Schedule of Values. Please confirm if a 15% mark-up is acceptable for third-party specialty materials or if all external costs are capped at 10%.

If Specialty Materials are provided from a 3<sup>rd</sup> party (subcontractor), Section 4.2 (page 10) and the 10% cap applies. Note that Section 4.2 does not distinguish routine/scheduled work from "Unscheduled Maintenance" or "Emergency Maintenance" described in Sections 6.3.2.2 and 6.3.2.3 and therefore the subcontractor mark-up cap of 10% still applies. Also note that Sections 6.3.2.2 and 6.3.2.3 only reference contractor (not subcontractors) mark-up of 15%.

4. **Proposal Page Limitation (Page 6):** Section 2 (Page 6) notes that responses must be limited to 30 pages and must include Forms I–VII from Section VII. Do the required forms, personnel resumes, and certificates count toward this 30-page limitation, or is the 30-page limit exclusive of these mandatory attachments?

Making the 30-page limit exclusive would result in no cap on the mandatory attachments, therefore the 30-page limit is intended to be an absolute cap inclusive of the mandatory attachments.

5. **Cost Proposals (Page 9):** Third paragraph notes that both printed and electronically on CD or flash drive, shall be submitted in a separate sealed envelope with the proposal. Can you confirm that Appendix 7 – Bid Form SCHEDULE OF VALUES will not be included in the (3) printed copies nor the electronic copy but will (3) printed copies of Appendix 7 be required in a separate sealed envelope or will (1) printed copy be sufficient? Also, will a separate file for the electronic copy on CD or flash drive be ok?

Please follow instructions provided on Section 1.3 (page 1), which specifies:

"Three (3) printed copies and one (1) electronic version of the proposal on CD or flash drive of the proposal must be submitted in a sealed envelope or box bearing the name of the Proposer, submitted only to the following address:

Traffic Signal Maintenance  
City of La Puente  
Attn: Martha Torres, City Clerk

15900 East Main Street  
La Puente CA 91744

Cost proposals, both printed and electronically on CD or flash drive, may be in the same envelope."

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Abraham Tellez, Director of Development Services

October 20, 2026  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

I acknowledge receipt of this Addendum No. 1 and accept the aforementioned.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Bidder

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date